Call to Order

Ms. Fischer called the meeting to order on January 26, 2012 at 6:00 p.m.

Open Forum

No one came forth to speak.

Approval of Minutes

Ms. Fischer asked for any comments or actions toward the December 1, 2011 Design Committee meeting minutes. Mr. Smith MOVED to accept the minutes as submitted. Mr. Haebig SECONDED. The MOTION PASSED 11:0.

MOTION
APPROVED

Project Updates

Mr. Plunkett reported the major updates on the project that has occurred since the last Design Committee meeting.

- The Board of Regents and State Building Commission unanimously approved the project.
- Boldt was selected as the Construction Manager (CM).
- The large oak tree to the east of the Union Theater was removed.
- The Design Team met with the Campus Design Review Board and the Wisconsin State Historical Society, receiving positive feedback on the Phase I design.
Referring to the December 1, 2011 Design Committee meeting minutes, Mr. Haebig asked for clarification on the intended renovation plans for Peet’s Coffee. Mr. Walter stated that the plan is to move Peet’s near the Commons entrance. Peet’s would be connected to the main dining seating area as well as the main corridor in the East wing and would be close to the Daily Scoop. Hank stated that the market part of Essentials would then move to Peet’s current location. Mr. Haebig asked if Peet’s was part of the Phase II plans. Mr. Walter confirmed that Peet’s was indeed part of Phase II.

The guests from the Design Team that were present to attend the Interiors Charrette were introduced. Ms. Fondrie stated that the purpose of this Charrette was to define continuity and determine continuous threads throughout the building in regards to interiors. The Committee adjourned at 6:15pm without objection to participate in the Interior Charrette activity.

The Charrette consisted of Design Committee members and other key stakeholders reviewing materials and photos and identifying which ones should be a design element that could be used to provide continuity to the interior design of the entire building. After individual participants placed items into a category of appropriate for continuity, indifferent, or not appropriate, the entire group reviewed the placement of each item, discussed why it was placed in that category, and reached consensus.

Upon completion of the Interiors Charrette, with no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:30pm.